

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

<p>TIMOTHY BOZUNG, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>CHRISTIANBOOK, LLC f/k/a CHRISTIAN BOOK DISTRIBUTORS CATALOG, LLC,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendant.</p>	<p>Case No. 1:22-cv-00304-PLM-SJB</p> <p>HONORABLE PAUL L. MALONEY</p> <p>FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT</p> <p>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</p>
---	---

Plaintiff Timothy Bozung (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to allegations specifically pertaining to himself and his counsel, which are based on personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

1. Defendant Christianbook, LLC f/k/a Christian Book Distributors Catalog, LLC (“Christianbook”) rented, exchanged, and/or otherwise disclosed detailed information about Plaintiff’s *The Drop Box* video purchase to data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, and list brokers, among others – including, without limitation, NextMark, Experian, Alterian, and Carney Direct


Marketing – which in turn disclosed his information to aggressive advertisers, political organizations, and non-profit companies. As a result, Plaintiff has received a barrage of unwanted junk mail. By renting, exchanging, and/or otherwise disclosing Plaintiff’s Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information (defined below) during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period,¹ Christianbook violated Michigan’s Preservation of Personal Privacy Act, H.B. 5331, 84th Leg. Reg. Sess., P.A. No. 378, §§ 1-4 (Mich. 1988), *id.* § 5, added by H.B. 4694, 85th Leg. Reg. Sess., P.A. No. 206, § 1 (Mich. 1989) (the “PPPA”).²

2. Documented evidence confirms these facts. For example, a list broker, NextMark, offers to provide renters access to the mailing list titled “Christianbook Catalog Buyers Mailing List”, which contains the Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information of 3,264,000 of Christianbook’s U.S. customers at a base price

¹ The statutory period for this action is six years. *See* M.C.L. § 600.5813.

² In May 2016, the Michigan legislature amended the PPPA. *See* S.B. 490, 98th Leg., Reg. Sess., P.A. No. 92 (Mich. 2016) (codified at M.C.L. § 445.1711, *et seq.*). The May 2016 amendment to the PPPA, which became effective on July 31, 2016, does not apply retroactively to claims that accrued prior to its July 31, 2016 effective date. *See Boelter v. Hearst Commc’ns, Inc.*, 192 F. Supp. 3d 427, 439-41 (S.D.N.Y. 2016) (holding that “the amendment to the [PP]PA does not apply to Plaintiffs’ claims, and the Court will assess the sufficiency of those claims under the law as it was when Plaintiffs’ claims accrued.”) (citing *Landgraf v. USI Film Prods.*, 511 U.S. 224, 286 (1994)). Because the claims alleged herein accrued, and thus vested, prior to the July 31, 2016 effective date of the amended version of the PPPA, the pre-amendment version of the PPPA applies in this case. *See Horton v. GameStop, Corp.*, 380 F. Supp. 3d 679, 683 (W.D. Mich. Sept. 28, 2018).

of “\$95.00/M [per thousand],” (i.e., 9.5 cents apiece), as shown in the screenshot below:

SEGMENTS			COUNTS THROUGH 02/28/2022	POPULARITY: ***** 100															
3,264,000 TOTAL UNIVERSE / BASE RATE			\$95.00/M	MARKET: BUSINESS AND CONSUMER															
1,964,800 0-12 MO. BUYERS			\$95.00/M	CHANNELS: <input type="checkbox"/>															
1,169,000 0-6 MO. BUYERS			\$105.00/M	SOURCE: BUYERS, CATALOG, DIRECT RESPONSE															
1,368,300 0-12 MO. BOOK BUYERS			+ \$17.00/M	PRIVACY: UNKNOWN															
644,700 0-12 MO. CHILDREN'S PRODUCT BUYERS			+ \$17.00/M	DMA?: YES - MEMBER															
701,200 0-12 MO. GIFTS & HOME PRODUCT BUYERS			+ \$17.00/M	STATUS: STANDARD PROVIDER															
1,300,300 13-24 MO. BUYERS			\$90.00/M	GEO: USA															
49,000 0-12 MO. CHURCH CUSTOMERS			+ \$17.00/M	GENDER: 63% FEMALE 30% MALE															
DESCRIPTION			SELECTS																
<p>Christianbook catalogs include a wide variety of books, Bibles, commentaries, videos, tapes and CD's, software, children's products, gifts, framed art, and more. The best products from varied publishing houses are available at discount prices.</p> <p>These catalog and ecommerce customers are active Christians who have purchased books and other products to help them grow in their faith. They are highly educated and come from many denominations. They are actively involved in their churches and are frequent contributors to charitable offers.</p> <p>NOTE: Donor Masterfile and Ethnic & Religious enhancements are available!! Please inquire for segment options.</p>																			
			<table border="1"> <tr><td>\$25+ BUYERS</td><td>\$7.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>\$50+ BUYERS</td><td>\$12.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>\$75+ BUYERS</td><td>\$17.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>ADDITIONAL PRODUCT</td><td>\$17.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>AGE</td><td>\$10.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>GENDER</td><td>\$8.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>SCF</td><td>\$8.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>STATE</td><td>\$8.00/M</td></tr> <tr><td>ZIP</td><td>\$8.00/M</td></tr> </table>		\$25+ BUYERS	\$7.00/M	\$50+ BUYERS	\$12.00/M	\$75+ BUYERS	\$17.00/M	ADDITIONAL PRODUCT	\$17.00/M	AGE	\$10.00/M	GENDER	\$8.00/M	SCF	\$8.00/M	STATE
\$25+ BUYERS	\$7.00/M																		
\$50+ BUYERS	\$12.00/M																		
\$75+ BUYERS	\$17.00/M																		
ADDITIONAL PRODUCT	\$17.00/M																		
AGE	\$10.00/M																		
GENDER	\$8.00/M																		
SCF	\$8.00/M																		
STATE	\$8.00/M																		
ZIP	\$8.00/M																		
ADDRESSING			RELATED LISTS																
KEY CODING			NOT AVAILABLE																
WEB UPLOAD			\$79.00/F																
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> MEREDITH DATABASE - CUSTOM MODELING <input type="checkbox"/> WILAND <input type="checkbox"/> NONPROFIT/FUNDRAISING/DONOR DATABASE <input type="checkbox"/> ALLIANT DIRECT AUDIENCES - MODELING <input type="checkbox"/> I-BEHAVIOR DATABASE <input type="checkbox"/> DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS <input type="checkbox"/> ACTIVE DONORS 																

See **Exhibit A** hereto.

3. Notably, the Nextmark datacard confirms that the persons on the mailing list “are active Christians . . . from many denominations.” It goes on to state, “[t]hey are actively involved in their churches and are frequent contributors to charitable offers.” And, in bold typeface, the datacard notes, “**Ethnic & Religious enhancements are available!! Please inquire for segment options.**”

4. The same “datacard,” with the same rates shown above, was also publicly advertised by Defendant during the relevant pre-July 31, 2016 time period, with a slightly different title. Throughout the relevant pre-July 31, 2016 time period, NextMark and other list brokers, including SRDS, Inc., offered to provide renters access to the mailing list titled “Christian Book Distributors (CBD) Catalog Buyers,” which contained the Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information of 1,407,451 customers of Christianbook (which at the time was known as Christian Book Distributors, LLC, as explained further below in paragraph 12) at a base price of \$95.00/M per thousand, (i.e., 9.5 cents apiece).³

5. By renting, exchanging, or otherwise disclosing the Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information of its Michigan-based customers during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period, Christianbook violated the PPPA. Subsection 2 of the PPPA provides:

[A] person, or an employee or agent of the person, engaged in the business of selling at retail, renting, or lending books or other written materials . . . shall not disclose to any person, other than the customer, a record or information concerning the purchase . . . of those materials by a customer that indicates the identity of the customer.

³ This datacard titled “Christian Book Distributors (CBD) Catalog Buyers” was accessible during the relevant pre-July 31, 2016 time period at <http://www.srds.com/directnet/dnet?action=recoListDetail&mid=611115&unit=0>, and was assigned the ID number 611115 by SRDS.

PPPA § 2.

6. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this First Amended Class Action Complaint against Christianbook for its intentional and unlawful disclosure of its customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information in violation of the PPPA.

NATURE OF THE CASE

7. To supplement its revenues, Christianbook rents, exchanges, or otherwise discloses all of its customers' information—including their full names, the titles of written materials, sound recordings, or video recordings purchased, and home addresses (collectively "Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information"), as well as myriad other categories of individualized data and demographic information such as age, gender, ethnicity, and religion—to data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, and other third parties – including, without limitation, NextMark, Experian, Alterian, and Carney Direct Marketing – without the written consent of its customers. During the relevant pre-July 31, 2016 time period, Christianbook (then known as Christian Book Distributors, LLC) likewise rented, exchanged, or otherwise disclosed all of its customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, and other third parties – including, without limitation, NextMark, Experian, Alterian, and Carney Direct Marketing – without the written consent of its

customers.

8. By renting, exchanging, or otherwise disclosing – rather than selling – its customers’ Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information, Christianbook is able to disclose the information time and time again to countless third parties.

9. Christianbook’s disclosure of Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information and other individualized information is not only unlawful, but also dangerous because it allows for the targeting of particularly vulnerable members of society.

10. While Christianbook profits handsomely from the unauthorized rental, exchange, and/or disclosure of its customers’ Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information and other individualized information, it does so at the expense of its customers’ statutory privacy rights (afforded by the PPPA) because Christianbook does not obtain its customers’ written consent prior to disclosing their Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Timothy Bozung is a natural person and citizen of the State of Michigan and resides in Hudsonville, Michigan. Plaintiff was a purchaser of *The Drop Box* video, including during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period. *The Drop Box* video is sold by Christianbook. While residing in, a citizen of, and present in Michigan, Plaintiff purchased *The Drop Box* video directly from Christianbook.

Prior to and at the time Plaintiff purchased *The Drop Box*, Christianbook did not notify Plaintiff that it discloses the Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information of its customers, and Plaintiff has never authorized Christianbook to do so. Furthermore, Plaintiff was never provided any written notice that Christianbook rents, exchanges, or otherwise discloses its customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information, or any means of opting out. Since purchasing *The Drop Box*, and during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period, Christianbook disclosed, without the requisite consent or prior notice, Plaintiff's Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to data aggregators, data appenders, and/or data cooperatives, who then supplement that information with data from their own files. Moreover, during that same period, Christianbook rented or exchanged mailing lists containing Plaintiff's Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to third parties seeking to contact Christianbook customers, without first obtaining the requisite written consent from Plaintiff or even giving him prior notice of the rentals, exchanges, and/or other disclosures.

12. Defendant Christianbook, LLC is a Delaware corporation with its headquarters and principal place of business in Peabody, Massachusetts. Christianbook does business throughout Michigan and the entire United States. Christianbook is the seller of various book titles such as *The Holy Bible*, as well as various video titles such as *The Drop Box*. During the relevant pre-July 31, 2016

time period, Defendant did business under the name “Christian Book Distributors Catalog, LLC”. On or about January 25, 2019, Christian Book Distributors Catalog, LLC merged into Christianbook, LLC. *See Exhibit B* hereto (filing with the Secretary of the Commonwealth for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts dated January 25, 2019 indicating that Christian Book Distributors Catalog, LLC “has merged out of existence into Christianbook, LLC (with Christianbook, LLC being the survivor)”).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because there are more than 100 class members and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest, fees, and costs, and at least one Class member is a citizen of a state different from Defendant.

14. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Christianbook because Plaintiff’s claims arose in substantial part from actions and omissions in Michigan, including from Plaintiff’s purchase of *The Drop Box* in Michigan, Christianbook’s direction of such *The Drop Box* video into Michigan, and Christianbook’s failure to obtain Plaintiff’s written consent in Michigan prior to disclosing his Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information, including his residential address in Michigan, to another person, the effects of which were felt from within Michigan by a citizen

and resident of Michigan. Personal jurisdiction also exists over Christianbook in Michigan because Christianbook conducts substantial business within Michigan, such that Christianbook has significant, continuous, and pervasive contacts with the State of Michigan.

15. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Plaintiff resides in this judicial District, Christianbook does substantial business in this judicial District, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims took place within this judicial District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Michigan's Preservation of Personal Privacy Act

16. In 1988, members of the United States Senate warned that records of consumers' purchases and rentals of written materials, sound recordings, and video recordings offer "a window into our loves, likes, and dislikes," and that "the trail of information generated by every transaction that is now recorded and stored in sophisticated record-keeping systems is a new, more subtle and pervasive form of surveillance." S. Rep. No. 100-599 at 7–8 (1988) (statements of Sens. Simon and Leahy, respectively).

17. Recognizing the need to further protect its citizens' privacy rights, Michigan's legislature enacted the PPPA to protect "privacy with respect to the purchase, rental, or borrowing of certain materials," by prohibiting companies from

disclosing certain types of sensitive consumer information. H.B. No. 5331, 1988 Mich. Legis. Serv. 378 (West).

18. Subsection 2 of the PPPA states:

[A] person, or an employee or agent of the person, engaged in the business of selling at retail, renting, or lending books or other written materials, sound recordings, or video recordings *shall not disclose* to any person, other than the customer, a record or information concerning the purchase . . . of those materials by a customer that indicates the identity of the customer.

PPPA § 2 (emphasis added).

19. Michigan’s protection of reading and audiovisual information reflects the “gut feeling that people ought to be able to read books and watch films without the whole world knowing,” and recognizes that “[b]ooks and films are the intellectual vitamins that fuel the growth of individual thought. The whole process of intellectual growth is one of privacy—of quiet, and reflection. This intimate process should be protected from the disruptive intrusion of a roving eye.” S. Rep. No. 100–599, at 6 (Statement of Rep. McCandless).

20. As Senator Patrick Leahy recognized in proposing the Video and Library Privacy Protection Act (later codified as the Video Privacy Protection Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2710), “[i]n practical terms our right to privacy protects the choice of movies that we watch with our family in our own homes. And it protects the selection of books that we choose to read.” 134 Cong. Rec. S5399 (May 10, 1988).

21. Senator Leahy also explained why choices in movies and reading materials are so private: “These activities . . . reveal our likes and dislikes, our interests and our whims. They say a great deal about our dreams and ambitions, our fears and our hopes. They reflect our individuality, and they describe us as people.” *Id.*

22. Michigan’s passage of the PPPA also established as a matter of law “that a person’s choice in reading, music, and video entertainment is a private matter, and not a fit subject for consideration by gossipy publications, employers, clubs, or anyone else for that matter.” *Privacy: Sales, Rentals of Videos, etc.*, House Legislative Analysis Section, H.B. No. 5331, Jan. 20, 1989 (attached hereto as **Exhibit C**).

23. Despite the fact that thousands of Michigan residents have purchased Christianbook’s written materials and video recordings, Christianbook disregarded its legal responsibility by systematically violating the PPPA.

***The Private Information Market:
Consumers’ Private Information Has Real Value***

24. In 2001, Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”) Commissioner Orson Swindle remarked that “the digital revolution . . . has given an enormous capacity to the acts of collecting and transmitting and flowing of information, unlike anything we’ve ever seen in our lifetimes . . . [and] individuals are concerned about being

defined by the existing data on themselves.”⁴

25. More than a decade later, Commissioner Swindle’s comments ring truer than ever, as consumer data feeds an information marketplace that supports a \$26 billion dollar per year online advertising industry in the United States.⁵

26. The FTC has also recognized that consumer data possesses inherent monetary value within the new information marketplace and publicly stated that:

Most consumers cannot begin to comprehend the types and amount of information collected by businesses, or why their information may be commercially valuable. Data is currency. The larger the data set, the greater potential for analysis – and profit.⁶

27. In fact, an entire industry exists while companies known as data aggregators purchase, trade, and collect massive databases of information about

⁴ **Exhibit D**, The Information Marketplace: Merging and Exchanging Consumer Data (Mar. 13, 2001), at 8:15-11:16, *available at* https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public_events/information-marketplace-merging-and-exchanging-consumer-data/transcript.pdf (last visited July 30, 2021).

⁵ *See Exhibit E*, Web’s Hot New Commodity: Privacy, WSJ (Feb. 28, 2011), <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703529004576160764037920274.html> (last visited July 30, 2021).

⁶ **Exhibit F**, Statement of FTC Commissioner Pamela Jones Harbour (Dec. 7, 2009), at 2, *available at*: https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public_statements/remarks-ftc-exploring-privacy-roundtable/091207privacyroundtable.pdf (last visited July 30, 2021).

consumers. Data aggregators then profit by selling this “extraordinarily intrusive” information in an open and largely unregulated market.⁷

28. The scope of data aggregators’ knowledge about consumers is immense: “If you are an American adult, the odds are that [they] know[] things like your age, race, sex, weight, height, marital status, education level, politics, buying habits, household health worries, vacation dreams—and on and on.”⁸

29. Further, “[a]s use of the Internet has grown, the data broker industry has already evolved to take advantage of the increasingly specific pieces of information about consumers that are now available.”⁹

30. Recognizing the serious threat the data mining industry poses to consumers’ privacy, on July 25, 2012, the co-Chairmen of the Congressional Bi-Partisan Privacy Caucus sent a letter to nine major data brokerage companies

⁷ See **Exhibit G**, Martha C. White, *Big Data Knows What You’re Doing Right Now*, TIME.com (July 31, 2012), <http://moneyland.time.com/2012/07/31/big-data-knows-what-youre-doing-right-now/> (last visited July 30, 2021).

⁸ **Exhibit H**, Natasha Singer, *You for Sale: Mapping, and Sharing, the Consumer Genome*, N.Y. Times (June 16, 2012), available at <https://www.immagic.com/eLibrary/ARCHIVES/GENERAL/GENPRESS/N120616S.pdf> (last visited July 30, 2021).

⁹ **Exhibit I**, Letter from Senator John D. Rockefeller IV, Chairman, Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, to Scott E. Howe, Chief Executive Officer, Acxiom (Oct. 9, 2012) available at http://www.commerce.senate.gov/public/?a=Files.Serve&File_id=3bb94703-5ac8-4157-a97b-a658c3c3061c (last visited July 30, 2021).

seeking information on how those companies collect, store, and sell their massive collections of consumer data.¹⁰

31. In their letter, the co-Chairmen recognized that “[b]y combining data from numerous offline and online sources, data brokers have developed hidden dossiers on every U.S. consumer,” which “raises a number of serious privacy concerns.”¹¹

32. Data aggregation is especially troublesome when consumer information is sold to direct-mail advertisers. In addition to causing waste and inconvenience, direct-mail advertisers often use consumer information to lure unsuspecting consumers into various scams,¹² including fraudulent sweepstakes, charities, and buying clubs. Thus, when companies like Christianbook share information with data aggregators, data cooperatives, and direct-mail advertisers, they contribute to the “[v]ast databases” of consumer data that are often “sold to thieves by large publicly traded companies,” which “put[s] almost anyone within

¹⁰ See **Exhibit J**, *Bipartisan Group of Lawmakers Query Data Brokers About Practices Involving Consumers’ Personal Information*, Website of Senator Ed Markey (July 24, 2012), <http://www.markey.senate.gov/news/press-releases/bipartisan-group-of-lawmakers-query-data-brokers-about-practices-involving-consumers-personal-information> (last visited July 30, 2021).

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² See **Exhibit K**, *Prize Scams*, Federal Trade Commission, <http://www.consumer.ftc.gov/articles/0199-prize-scams> (last visited July 30, 2021).

the reach of fraudulent telemarketers” and other criminals.¹³

33. Information disclosures like those made by Christianbook are particularly dangerous to the elderly. “Older Americans are perfect telemarketing customers, analysts say, because they are often at home, rely on delivery services, and are lonely for the companionship that telephone callers provide.”¹⁴ The FTC notes that “[t]he elderly often are the deliberate targets of fraudulent telemarketers who take advantage of the fact that many older people have cash reserves or other assets to spend on seemingly attractive offers.”¹⁵ Indeed, an entire black market exists where the private information of vulnerable elderly Americans is exchanged.

34. Thus, information disclosures like Christianbook’s are particularly troublesome because of their cascading nature: “Once marked as receptive to [a specific] type of spam, a consumer is often bombarded with similar fraudulent offers

¹³ **Exhibit L**, Charles Duhigg, *Bilking the Elderly, With a Corporate Assist*, N.Y. Times, May 20, 2007, *available at*: <http://www.nytimes.com/2007/05/20/business/20tele.html> (last visited July 30, 2021).

¹⁴ *Id.*

¹⁵ **Exhibit M**, *Fraud Against Seniors: Hearing before the Senate Special Committee on Aging* (August 10, 2000) (prepared statement of the FTC), *available at* https://www.ftc.gov/sites/default/files/documents/public_statements/prepared-statement-federal-trade-commission-fraud-against-seniors/agingtestimony.pdf (last visited July 1, 2022).

from a host of scam artists.”¹⁶

35. Christianbook is not alone in jeopardizing its customers’ privacy and well-being in exchange for increased revenue: disclosing customer’s purchase information to data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, direct marketers, and other third parties is a widespread practice in the publishing industry and other direct-to-consumer industries.

36. Thus, as consumer data has become an ever-more valuable commodity, the data mining industry has experienced rapid and massive growth. Unfortunately for consumers, this growth has come at the expense of their most basic privacy rights.

***Consumers Place Monetary Value on their Privacy and
Consider Privacy Practices When Making Purchases***

37. As the data aggregation and cooperative industry has grown, so too have consumer concerns regarding the privacy of their information.

38. A recent survey conducted by Harris Interactive on behalf of TRUSTe, Inc. showed that 89 percent of consumers polled avoid doing business with companies who they believe do not protect their privacy online.¹⁷ As a result,

¹⁶ See *id.*

¹⁷ See **Exhibit N**, 2014 TRUSTe US Consumer Confidence Privacy Report, TRUSTe, http://www.theagitator.net/wp-content/uploads/012714_ConsumerConfidenceReport_US1.pdf (last visited July 30, 2021).

81 percent of smartphone users polled said that they avoid using smartphone apps that they don't believe protect their privacy online.¹⁸

39. Thus, as consumer privacy concerns grow, consumers are increasingly incorporating privacy concerns and values into their purchasing decisions and companies viewed as having weaker privacy protections are forced to offer greater value elsewhere (through better quality and/or lower prices) than their privacy-protective competitors.

40. In fact, consumers' private information has become such a valuable commodity that companies are beginning to offer individuals the opportunity to sell their information themselves.¹⁹

41. These companies' business models capitalize on a fundamental tenet underlying the consumer information marketplace: consumers recognize the economic value of their private data. Research shows that consumers are willing to pay a premium to purchase services from companies that adhere to more stringent policies of protecting their data.²⁰

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ See **Exhibit O**, Joshua Brustein, *Start-Ups Seek to Help Users Put a Price on Their Personal Data*, N.Y. Times (Feb. 12, 2012), available at <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/13/technology/start-ups-aim-to-help-users-put-a-price-on-their-personal-data.html> (last visited July 30, 2021).

²⁰ See **Exhibit P**, Tsai, Cranor, Acquisti, and Egelman, *The Effect of Online Privacy Information on Purchasing Behavior*, 22(2) Information Systems Research

42. Thus, in today's economy, individuals and businesses alike place a real, quantifiable value on consumer data and corresponding privacy rights.²¹

Christianbook Unlawfully Rents, Exchanges, And Discloses Its Customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information

43. Christianbook maintains a vast digital database comprised of its customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information. Christianbook discloses its customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to data aggregators and appenders, who then supplement that information with additional sensitive private information about each Christianbook customer, including his or her age, gender, ethnicity, and religion. (*See, e.g., Exhibit A*).

44. Christianbook then rents and/or exchanges its mailing lists—which include its customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information identifying which individuals purchased particular written materials and particular audiovisual materials, and can include the sensitive information obtained from data aggregators and appenders—to other data aggregators and appenders, other

254, 254 (2011); *see also* European Network and Information Security Agency, *Study on monetising privacy* (Feb. 27, 2012), *available at* <https://www.enisa.europa.eu/activities/identity-and-trust/library/deliverables/monetising-privacy> (last visited July 30, 2021).

²¹ *See Exhibit Q, Hann, et al., The Value of Online Information Privacy: An Empirical Investigation* (Oct. 2003) at 2, *available at* <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.321.6125&rep=rep1&type=pdf> (last visited July 30, 2021) (“The real policy issue is not whether consumers value online privacy. It is obvious that people value online privacy.”).

consumer-facing businesses, non-profit organizations seeking to raise awareness and solicit donations, and to political organizations soliciting donations, votes, and volunteer efforts. (See **Exhibit A**).

45. Christianbook also discloses its customers' Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to data cooperatives, who in turn give Christianbook access to their own mailing list databases.

46. As a result of Christianbook's data compiling and sharing practices, companies can purchase and/or obtain mailing lists from Christianbook that identify Christianbook's customers by their most intimate details such as their age, gender, ethnicity, and religion. Christianbook's disclosures of such sensitive and private information puts consumers, especially the more vulnerable members of society, at risk of serious harm from scammers.

47. Christianbook does not seek its customers' prior consent, written or otherwise, to any of these disclosures and its customers remain unaware that their Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information and other sensitive information is being rented and exchanged on the open market.

48. Consumers can purchase Christianbook's written and audiovisual materials through numerous media outlets, including the Internet, telephone, or traditional mail. Regardless of how the consumer makes a purchase, Christianbook never required the individual to read or affirmatively agree to any terms of service,

privacy policy, or information-sharing policy during the relevant pre-July 31, 2016 time period. Consequently, during the relevant pre-July 31, 2016 time period, Christianbook uniformly failed to obtain any form of consent from – or even provide effective notice to – its customers before disclosing their Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information.

49. As a result, Christianbook disclosed its customers’ Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information – including their reading, listening, and viewing habits and preferences that can “reveal intimate facts about our lives, from our political and religious beliefs to our health concerns”²² – to anybody willing to pay for it.

50. By and through these actions, Christianbook has intentionally disclosed to third parties its Michigan customers’ Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information without consent, in direct violation of the PPPA.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

51. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class defined as all Michigan residents who, at any point during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period, had their Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information disclosed to third parties by Christianbook without consent (the “Class”). Excluded from the Class is any entity

²² **Exhibit R**, *California’s Reader Privacy Act Signed into Law*, Electronic Frontier Foundation (Oct. 3, 2011), <https://www.eff.org/press/archives/2011/10/03> (last visited July 30, 2021).

in which Defendant has a controlling interest, and officers or directors of Defendant.

52. Members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder herein is impracticable. On information and belief, members of the Class number in the thousands. The precise number of Class members and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be determined through discovery. Class members may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail and/or publication through the distribution records of Defendant.

53. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all Class members and predominate over questions affecting only individual Class members. Common legal and factual questions include, but are not limited to: (a) whether Christianbook is a “retailer or distributor” of written materials or sound or video recordings; (b) whether Christianbook obtained consent before disclosing to third parties Plaintiff’s and the Class’s Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information; and (c) whether Christianbook’s disclosure of Plaintiff’s and the Class’s Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information violated the PPPA.

54. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class in that the named Plaintiff and the Class suffered invasions of their statutorily protected right to privacy (as afforded by the PPPA) as a result of Defendant’s uniform wrongful conduct, based upon Defendant’s disclosure of Plaintiff’s and the Class’s Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information.

55. Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the Class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class members he seeks to represent, he has retained competent counsel experienced in prosecuting class actions, and he intends to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of Class members will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and his counsel.

56. The class mechanism is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of Class members. Each individual Class member may lack the resources to undergo the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessary to establish Defendant's liability. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties and multiplies the burden on the judicial system presented by the complex legal and factual issues of this case. Individualized litigation also presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. In contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties and provides the benefits of single adjudication, economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court on the issue of Defendant's liability. Class treatment of the liability issues will ensure that all claims and claimants are before this Court for consistent adjudication of the liability issues.

CAUSE OF ACTION

**Violation of Michigan's Preservation of Personal Privacy Act
(PPPA § 2)**

57. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

58. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of members of the Class against Defendant Christianbook.

59. As a company that sells written materials and sound and video recordings directly to consumers, Christianbook is engaged in the business of selling written materials, sound recordings, or video recordings at retail. *See* PPPA § 2.

60. By purchasing *The Drop Box* video and other videos, Plaintiff purchased video recording directly from Christianbook. *See* PPPA § 2.

61. Because Plaintiff purchased a video recording directly from Christianbook, he is a “customer” within the meaning of the PPPA. *See* PPPA § 1.

62. At various times during the pre-July 30, 2016 time period, Christianbook disclosed Plaintiff’s Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information, which identified him as a purchaser of *The Drop Box* video and other video recording titles, in at least three ways.

63. First, Christianbook disclosed mailing lists containing Plaintiff’s Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to data aggregators and data appenders, who then supplemented the mailing lists with additional sensitive information from their own databases, before sending the mailing lists back to Christianbook.

64. Second, Christianbook disclosed mailing lists containing Plaintiff's Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to data cooperatives, who in turn gave Christianbook access to their own mailing list databases.

65. Third, Christianbook rented and/or exchanged its mailing lists containing Plaintiff's Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information—enhanced with additional information from data aggregators and appenders—to third parties, including other consumer-facing companies, direct-mail advertisers, and organizations soliciting monetary contributions, volunteer work, and votes.

66. Because the mailing lists included the additional information from the data aggregators and appenders, the lists were more valuable, and Christianbook was able to increase its profits gained from the mailing list rentals and/or exchanges.

67. By renting, exchanging, or otherwise disclosing its customer lists, during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period, Christianbook disclosed to persons other than Plaintiff records or information concerning his purchase of video recordings from Christianbook. *See* PPPA § 2.

68. The information Christianbook disclosed indicates Plaintiff's name and address, as well as the fact that he purchased *The Drop Box*. Accordingly, the records or information disclosed by Christianbook indicated Plaintiff's identity. *See* PPPA § 2.

69. Plaintiff and the members of the Class never consented to

Christianbook disclosing their Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to anyone.

70. Worse yet, Plaintiff and the members of the Class did not receive notice before Christianbook disclosed their Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information to third parties.

71. Christianbook's disclosures of Plaintiff's and the Class's Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period were not made pursuant to a court order, search warrant, or grand jury subpoena.

72. Christianbook's disclosures of Plaintiff's and the Class's Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period were not made to collect payment for their purchases.

73. Christianbook's disclosures of Plaintiff's Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period were made to data aggregators, data appenders, data cooperatives, direct-mail advertisers, and organizations soliciting monetary contributions, volunteer work, and votes—all in order to increase Christianbook's revenue. Accordingly, Christianbook's disclosures were not made for the exclusive purpose of marketing goods and services directly to Plaintiff and the members of the Class.

74. By disclosing Plaintiff's and the Class's Private Reading, Listening,

and Viewing Information during the relevant pre-July 30, 2016 time period, Christianbook violated Plaintiff's and the Class's statutorily protected right to privacy in their reading habits. *See* PPPA § 2.

75. As a result of Christianbook's unlawful disclosure of their Private Reading, Listening, and Viewing Information, Plaintiff and the members of the Class have suffered invasions of their statutorily protected right to privacy (afforded by the PPPA). On behalf of himself and the Class, Plaintiff seeks: (1) \$5,000.00 per Class member pursuant to PPPA § 5(a); and (2) costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to PPPA § 5(b).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks a judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. For an order certifying the Class under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and naming Plaintiff as representative of the Class and Plaintiff's attorneys as Class Counsel to represent the Class;
- B. For an order declaring that Defendant's conduct as described herein violated the Preservation of Personal Privacy Act, PPPA;
- C. For an order finding in favor of Plaintiff and the Class on all counts asserted herein;
- D. For an award of \$5,000 to Plaintiff and each Class member, as provided by the Preservation of Personal Privacy Act, PPPA § 5(a);

- E. For prejudgment interest on all amounts awarded; and
- F. For an order awarding Plaintiff and the Class their reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses and costs of suit.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action and issues so triable.

Dated: July 1, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

TIMOTHY BOZUNG,

/s/ E. Powell Miller

E. Powell Miller (P39487)
Sharon S. Almonrode (P33938)
THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.
950 W. University Drive, Suite 300
Rochester, MI 48307
Tel: 248-841-2200
epm@millerlawpc.com
ssa@millerlawpc.com

Frank S. Hedin
Arun G. Ravindran
HEDIN HALL LLP
1395 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1140
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel: 305.357.2107
Fax: 305.200.8801
fhedin@hedinhall.com
aravindran@hedinhall.com

Joseph I. Marchese
Philip L. Fraietta
BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.
888 Seventh Avenue
New York, New York 10019

Tel: 646.837.7150
Fax: 212.989.9163
jmarchese@bursor.com
pfraietta@bursor.com

Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, E. Powell Miller, an attorney, hereby certify that on July 1, 2022, I served the above and foregoing *Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint* on all counsel of record by filing it electronically with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF filing system.

/s/ E. Powell Miller

E. Powell Miller

THE MILLER LAW FIRM, P.C.

950 W. University Dr., Ste 300

Rochester, MI 48307

Tel: 248.841.2200